8:04-cv-22753-GRA Date Filed 06/28/05 Entry Number 13 Page 1 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ANDERSON DIVISION

Lori A. Dickes,

C/A No. 8:04-22753-GRA-WMC

Plaintiff,

٧.

ORDER

Lander University,

[Written Opinion]

Defendant.

This matter is before this Court for a review of the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2)(c), D.S.C., and filed on June 2, 2005. Plaintiff filed this action as a Sex Discrimination claim on October 22, 2004. On April 5, 2005, the defendant filed a motion to dismiss. On April 6, 2005, pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), the plaintiff was advised of the summary dismissal procedure and the possible consequences if she failed to do so. Plaintiff did not respond to the motion. The magistrate judge recommends dismissing Plaintiff's complaint for lack of prosecution.

Plaintiff brings this claim *pro se*. This Court is required to construe *pro se* pleadings liberally. Such pleadings are held to a less stringent standard than those drafted by attorneys. *Gordon v. Leeke*, 574 F.2d 1147, 1151 (4th Cir. 1978). This Court is charged with liberally construing a pleading filed by a *pro se* litigant to allow for the development of a potentially meritorious claim. *Boag v. MacDougall*, 454

U.S. 364, 365 (1982).

The magistrate makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and responsibility for making a final determination remains with this Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). This Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made, and this Court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). This Court may also "receive further evidence or recommit the matter to the magistrate with instructions." *Id.* In the absence of specific objections to the Report and Recommendation, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198 (4th. Cir. 1983). Plaintiff has not filed any objections to the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation.

After a review of the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation, this Court finds that the report is based upon the proper law. Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation is accepted and adopted in its entirety.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's claim be DISMISSED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

G. Ross Anderson, Jr. United States District Judge

an Galerson De

Anderson, South Carolina

June 28, 2005

8:04-cv-22753-GRA Date Filed 06/28/05 Entry Number 13 Page 3 of 3

## NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

Plaintiff has the right to appeal this Order within thirty (30) days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure. Failure to meet this deadline, as modified by Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, will waive the right to appeal.